

REMARKS

The Examiner's Action mailed on October 22, 2004 has been received and its contents have been carefully considered. In this Amendment, Applicants have amended claims 1-3, 5, and 8-16 to define the invention more particularly and distinctly. Claims 1, 8 and 13 are the independent claims. Claims 1-16 remain pending in the application. For at least the following reasons, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance.

Applicants note with appreciation that the Examiner has indicated that claims 1, 4, 6 and 7 are allowed. Claim 1 has, nonetheless, been amended for purposes of improved clarity and unrelated to patentability.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 8-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as his invention.

In particular, the Office Action alleged that independent claim 1, 8, and 13 do not positively recite the “work platform” and the “workpiece” as part of the claimed combination. Accordingly, the Office Action indicated the recitation in claims 2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 14, and 15 of details of the “Moving platform on a Conveyer”, “LCD Screen”, “Measuring and Testing Equipment” renders the claims indeterminate of scope. In response, Applicant amends claims 2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 14, and 15 to delete “Measuring and Testing Equipment” and to inferentially introduce the “Moving platform on a Conveyer”, and “LCD Screen” in the claims as being the workpieces or environmental elements but not any of the claim elements.

Further, the Office Action indicated the recited “slot” in claims 8 and 13 should be “slots”. Accordingly, the claims have been editorially amended to correct the informalities noted by the Examiner, as well as other informalities noted during the review.

As such, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 2, 3, 5, 8-16 should be withdrawn.

Based on the above, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance and such a Notice, with allowed claims 1-16 earnestly is solicited.

If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is hereby invited to telephone the undersigned counsel to arrange for such a conference.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Daniel R. McClure, Reg. No. 38,962

Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339
770-933-9500